Thompson's conjecture on conjugacy class sizes for the simple group $PSU_n(q)$

Neda Ahanjideh*

Department of pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrekord University, P. O. Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran

Abstract

We show that if G is a finite centerless group with the same conjugacy class sizes as $PSU_n(q)$, then $G \cong PSU_n(q)$ and so verify a conjecture attributed to John G. Thompson.

AMS subject Classification 2010: 20D06, 20D20, 20E28.

Keyword and phrases: Classification of finite simple groups, conjugacy classes.

1 Introduction

Let cs(G) denote the set of conjugacy class sizes of a finite group G.

In 1988, John G. Thompson posed the following conjecture which appears as Problem 12.38 of [10].

Conjecture. If S is a finite simple group and G is a finite group such that Z(G) = 1 and cs(G) = cs(S), then G is isomorphic to S.

In [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15], it has been shown that the conjecture is true for many finite simple groups. We prove the following.

Main Theorem. If G is a finite group such that Z(G) = 1 and $cs(G) = cs(PSU_n(q))$, then $G \cong PSU_n(q)$.

^{*}Email:ahanjideh.neda@sci.sku.ac.ir

2 Definitions and preliminary results

Let H be a finite group. For $x \in H$, $cl_H(x)$ and $C_H(x)$ denote the conjugacy class in Hcontaining x and the centralizer of x in H, respectively. Also, let $\pi(H)$ and $\omega(H)$ be the set of prime divisors of |H| and the set of orders of elements of H, respectively. For $r \in \pi(H)$ (resp. $\pi \subseteq \pi(H)$), $O_r(H)$ (resp. $O_{\pi}(H)$) is the largest normal r-subgroup (resp. π -subgroup) of H and $O_{r'}(H)$ is the largest normal r'-subgroup of H. Also, $Syl_r(H)$ denotes the set of r-Sylow subgroups of H.

For a prime r and a natural number a, $|a|_r$ is the r-part of a, i.e., $|a|_r = r^t$, if $r^t ||a$, $|a|_{r'} = a/|a|_r$ is the r'-part of a. If π is a set of primes, then put $|a|_{\pi} = \prod_{r \in \pi} |a|_r$ and $|a|_{\pi'} = a/|a|_{\pi}$. Define sgn(-1) = - and sgn(+1) = +. Sometimes, we use $GL_n^+(q)$ and $GL_n^-(q)$ for $GL_n(q)$ and $GU_n(q)$, respectively.

Throughout this paper, let p be a prime, $q = p^k$, $n \ge 3$ be a natural number such that $(n,q) \ne (3,2)$ and let G be a finite group such that Z(G) = 1 and $cs(G) = cs(PSU_n(q))$. All other notations are borrowed from [7] and [12].

Definition 2.1 For an integer m with |m| > 1 and an odd prime r such that gcd(m, r) = 1, $exp_r(m)$ denotes the multiplicative order of m modulo r, that is the smallest natural number i with $m^i \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. For an odd integer m, we put $exp_2(m) = 1$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $exp_2(m) = 2$, otherwise. A prime r with $exp_r(m) = i$ is a primitive prime divisor of $m^i - 1$. Let $Z_i(m)$ be the set of all primitive prime divisors of $m^i - 1$.

Lemma 2.2 (Zsigmondy Theorem) [21, 16] Let m be an integer with |m| > 1. For every positive integer i, there is a primitive prime divisor of $m^i - 1$, except for the pairs $(m, i) \in \{(2, 1), (2, 6), (-2, 2), (-2, 3), (3, 1), (-3, 2)\}.$

Lemma 2.3 Let r, s, t and u be distinct prime divisors of the order of the finite group H, $K = O_{\{r,s\}}(H)$ and $K_s \in Syl_s(K)$.

- (i) If x is a non-trivial s-element of K such that $x \in K_s$, then $|cl_H(x)|_{r'} < |K|_s$.
- (ii) If $\overline{M} = M/K$ is a normal t-subgroup of $\overline{H} = H/K$, then there exist $M_t \in \text{Syl}_t(M)$ and a non-trivial u-element $y \in H$ such that $M_t \leq N_H(K_s)$ and $y \in N_H(K_sM_t)$.

Proof. Let $K_r \in \operatorname{Syl}_r(K)$. Then $K = K_r K_s$ and so, by Frattini's argument, $H = K N_H(K_s) = K_r N_H(K_s)$ and hence $[H : N_H(K_s)]$ is an *r*-number. Since $x \in K_s \leq N_H(K_s)$, $cl_{N_H(K_s)}(x) \subset K_s$. Thus $\frac{|cl_H(x)|}{|cl_H(x)|_r} \leq \frac{|cl_H(x)|[C_H(x):C_{N_H(K_s)}(x)]}{[H:N_H(K_s)]} = |cl_{N_H(K_s)}(x)| < |K_s|$. Therefore, $|cl_H(x)|_{r'} < |K|_s$, as required in (i). Now we prove (ii). Since $H = K_r N_H(K_s)$ and $u \in \pi(H) - \{r\}$, $u \mid |N_H(K_s)|$. Also, $K_r \leq M$ and hence, the Dedekind modular law shows that $M = M \cap H = M \cap (K_r N_H(K_s)) = K_r(M \cap N_H(K_s))$. Therefore, there exists $M_t \in \operatorname{Syl}_t(M)$ such that $M_t \leq N_H(K_s)$ and hence, $K_s M_t \leq H$. On the other hand, $M = M_t K \leq H$ and hence, the Dedekind modular law shows that

$$M_t N_K(K_s) = M_t(K \cap N_H(K_s)) = (M_t K) \cap N_H(K_s) = M \cap N_H(K_s) \leq N_H(K_s).$$

Thus Frattini's argument gives that

$$N_H(K_s) = N_{N_H(K_s)}(M_t)M_tN_K(K_s) = N_{N_H(K_s)}(M_t)N_K(K_s).$$

Since K is a $\{r, s\}$ -group and $u \mid |N_H(K_s)|$, we deduce that $u \mid |N_{N_H(K_s)}(M_t)|$ and hence, $N_{N_H(K_s)}(M_t) = N_H(K_s) \cap N_H(M_t)$ contains a non-trivial u-element y. Consequently, $y \in N_H(K_sM_t)$, as claimed in (ii). \Box

In the following lemma, we collect some known facts used frequently.

Lemma 2.4 Let H be a finite group, N a normal subgroup of H and $x, y \in H$.

- (i) If xy = yx and gcd(O(x), O(y)) = 1, then $C_H(xy) = C_H(x) \cap C_H(y)$. In particular, $C_H(xy) \le C_H(x)$ and $|cl_H(x)|$ divides $|cl_H(xy)|$;
- (ii) if $|C_H(x) \cap N| = 1$, then |N| divides $|cl_H(x)|$;
- (iii) if $x \in N$, then $|cl_N(x)|$ divides $|cl_H(x)|$;
- (iv) if gcd(|N|, O(x)) = 1, then $C_{H/N}(xN) = C_H(x)N/N$;
- (v) if r||H/N|, $r \nmid |N|$ (r is a prime and $r \neq p$), $p^e |||N|$ and $p^t |||C_N(R)|$, where $R \in Syl_r(H)$, then $r|p^{e-t} - 1$;
- (vi) if N is the π -group, for some $\pi \subseteq \pi(H)$, and x is the π' -element of H of a prime power order, then $|cl_H(x)|_{\pi'}$ divides $|cl_{H/N}(xN)|$.

Proof. (i)-(iii) are straightforward and we obtain (iv) from [11, Theorem 1.6.2]. For the proof of (v), let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$. Since by Frattini's argument, $H = N_H(P)N$, we can assume that $R \in N_H(P)$. Let $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(C_N(R))$ such that $Q \leq P$. Therefore, $|P| \equiv |Q| \pmod{r}$, so $r \mid p^{e-t} - 1$, as required in (v). For the proof of (vi), applying (iv) shows that $C_{H/N}(xN) =$ $C_H(x)N/N$ and hence $|cl_{H/N}(xN)| = [H/N : C_H(x)N/N] = \frac{|H||C_N(x)|}{|C_H(x)||N|} = \frac{|cl_H(x)|}{|N|C_N(x)|}$ is divisible by $|cl_H(x)|_{\pi'}$, as desired. \Box

Lemma 2.5 [2, Lemma 2.7(i)] Let $r \in Z_n(q)$ and let x be a non-central element of $GL_n(q)$ such that $r \mid |C_{GL_n(q)}(x)|$. If m is the smallest natural number with $O(x) \mid q^m - 1$, then $C_{GL_n(q)}(x) \cong GL_{n/m}(q^m)$.

In the following lemmas, GF(q) is the field with q elements, $diag(a_1, ..., a_m)$ is a diagonal matrix with numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$ on a diagonal, $bd(A_1, A_2, ..., A_m)$ denotes a blockdiagonal matrix with square blocks $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$ and C^t denotes the transpose of a square matrix C.

Lemma 2.6 Let t be a natural number such that $2t \mid n$ and let $B \in GL_t(q^2)$ such that $O(B) \mid q^{2t} - 1$ and for every $1 \leq l < 2t$, $O(B) \nmid q^l - (-1)^l$. If $C = bd(B, \ldots, B) \in GL_{n/2}(q^2)$ and τ is a field automorphism of $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$, then C^{τ} and $(C^t)^{-1}$ are not conjugate in $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$.

Proof. Let $\overline{GF}(q^2)$ be the algebraic closure of the field of order q^2 and let ξ be an element of $GF(q^{2t})$ of order O(B). There is $g \in GL_t(\overline{GF}(q^2))$ such that $B = g^{-1} \operatorname{diag}(\xi, \xi^{q^2}, \dots, \xi^{q^{2(t-1)}})g \in GL_t(q^2)$ (see [17, Lemma 5]). Thus there exists $g_1 \in GL_{n/2}(\overline{GF}(q^2))$ such that

$$C = g_1^{-1} \operatorname{diag}(\xi, \xi^{q^2}, \dots, \xi^{q^{2(t-1)}}, \dots, \xi, \xi^{q^2}, \dots, \xi^{q^{2(t-1)}}) g_1$$

If C^{τ} and $(C^t)^{-1}$ are conjugate in $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$, then we can assume that there exists $h = (h_{ij}) \in GL_{n/2}(\overline{GF}(q^2))$ such that

$$h^{-1} \quad \text{diag} \quad (\xi^{q}, \xi^{q^{3}}, \dots, \xi^{q^{2(t-1)+1}}, \dots, \xi^{q}, \xi^{q^{3}}, \dots, \xi^{q^{2(t-1)}+1})h =$$
(1)
$$\text{diag} \quad (\xi^{-1}, \xi^{-q^{2}}, \dots, \xi^{-q^{2(t-1)}}, \dots, \xi^{-1}, \xi^{-q^{2}}, \dots, \xi^{-q^{2(t-1)}}).$$

Since det $(h) \neq 0$, there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $h_{1j} \neq 0$. On the other hand, (1) forces $\xi^{q} h_{1j} = h_{1j}\xi^{-q^{2l}}$, where $0 \leq l \leq t-1$ and $l \equiv j-1 \pmod{t}$. Therefore, $\xi^{q} = \xi^{-q^{2l}}$ and hence $(\xi^{q})^{q^{2l-1}+1} = 1$. Thus $O(\xi^{q}) = O(\xi) = O(B) \mid q^{2l-1} + 1 = q^{2l-1} - (-1)^{2l-1}$. But

 $2l-1 \leq 2(t-1)-1 < 2t$, which is a contradiction by our assumption on O(B). This shows that C^{τ} and $(C^t)^{-1}$ are not conjugate in $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$. \Box

Lemma 2.7 Let $r \in Z_n(-q)$. If x is an element of $GU_n(q)$ of order r, then $C_{GU_n(q)}(x)$ is a cyclic group of order $q^n - (-1)^n$.

Proof. We prove this lemma in two cases.

Case I. Let n = 2t. It is easy to check that $r \in Z_t(q^2)$. Let C be an element of $GL_t(q^2)$ of order r. Since $|GL_t(q^2)|_r = |GU_n(q)|_r$ and $GU_n(q) = \{T \in GL_n(q^2) : T^{\tau_1}J_nT^t = J_n\}$, where τ_1 is a field automorphism of $GL_n(q^2)$ of order 2, I_t is an identity matrix in $GL_t(q^2)$ and $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_t \\ I_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the second Sylow theorem allows us to assume that $x = bd(C^{\tau}, (C^t)^{-1})$, where τ is a field automorphism of $GL_t(q^2)$ of order 2. By Lemma 2.6, C^{τ} and $(C^t)^{-1}$ are not conjugate in $GL_t(q^2)$ and hence, $C_{GL_n(q^2)}(x) = \{bd(h_1, h_2) : (h_1)^{\tau}, (h_2^t)^{-1} \in C_{GL_t(q^2)}(C)\}$. Thus $C_{GU_n(q)}(x) = \{bd(h_1^{\tau}, (h_1^t)^{-1}) : h_1 \in C_{GL_t(q^2)}(C)\} \cong C_{GL_t(q^2)}(C)$. So Lemma 2.5 shows that $C_{GU_n(q)}(x) \cong GL_1(q^n)$, which is a cyclic group of order $q^n - 1 = q^n - (-1)^n$. **Case II.** Let n be odd. Then $r \in Z_n(q^2)$. Since $GU_n(q) = \{T \in GL_n(q^2) : T^{\tau_1}T^t = I_n\}$, where τ_1 is a field automorphism of $GL_n(q^2)$ of order 2, $x \in GL_n(q^2)$. Lemma 2.5 shows that $C_{GL_n(q^2)}(x) \cong GL_1(q^{2n})$. Note that $GL_1(q^{2n}) = GF(q^{2n}) - \{0\}$. Thus τ_1 can be considered as an involutory field automorphism of $GF(q^{2n})$. Therefore, $C_{GU_n(q)}(x) \cong \{h \in GF(q^{2n}) - \{0\}$:

 au_1 .

Therefore, $C_{GU_n(q)}(x)$ is a cyclic group of order $q^n - (-1)^n$, as desired. \Box

Lemma 2.8 Let $r \in Z_n(-q)$. If x is a non-central element of $GU_n(q)$, then either $r \notin |C_{GU_n(q)}(x)|$ or there exists a divisor m of n such that $C_{GU_n(q)}(x) \cong GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)$, where $m \neq 1$ and $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$. In the latter case, if (n,q) = (4,2), then m = 4.

 $h^{\tau_2}h^t = 1$ = $GU_1(q^n)$, where τ_2 is an involutory field automorphism of $GL_1(q^{2n})$ induced by

Proof. Let $r \mid |C_{GU_n(q)}(x)|$. Then $C_{GU_n(q)}(x)$ contains an element y of the order r. Therefore, $x \in C_{GU_n(q)}(y)$. By Lemma 2.7, $C_{GU_n(q)}(y)$ is a cyclic group of the order $q^n - (-1)^n$. Let $C_{GU_n(q)}(y)$ be generated by α . Since $x \in C_{GU_n(q)}(y)$, we deduce that O(x) divides $q^n - (-1)^n$. Let m be the smallest natural number such that O(x) divides $q^m - (-1)^m$. Then m divides n, by [19, Lemma 6(iii)]. **Case I.** Let m = 2t be even. It is known that $GL_t(q^2)$ contains an element, say B, of order O(x). Set $C := \operatorname{bd}(B, \ldots, B) \in GL_{n/2}(q^2)$ and $A := \operatorname{bd}(C^{\tau}, (C^t)^{-1})$, where τ is a field automorphism of $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$ of the order 2. Lemma 2.6 shows that C^{τ} and $(C^t)^{-1}$ are not conjugate in $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$ and hence, $C_{GL_n(q^2)}(A) = \{\operatorname{bd}(h_1, h_2) : (h_1)^{\tau}, (h_2^t)^{-1} \in C_{GL_{n/2}(q^2)}(C)\}$. On the other hand, we can assume that $GU_n(q) = \{T \in GL_n(q^2) : T^{\tau_1}J_nT^t = J_n\}$, where τ_1 is a field automorphism of $GL_n(q^2)$ of order 2, $I_{n/2}$ is an identity matrix in $GL_{n/2}(q^2)$ and $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n/2} \\ I_{n/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, $A \in GU_n(q)$ and $C_{GU_n(q)}(A) = \{\operatorname{bd}(h_1^{\tau}, (h_1^t)^{-1}) : h_1 \in C_{GL_{n/2}(q^2)}(C)\} \cong C_{GL_{n/2}(q^2)}(C)$. Since $r \in Z_{n/2}(q^2)$, Lemma 2.5 shows that $C_{GU_n(q)}(A) \cong GL_{n/2t}(q^{2t}) = GL_{n/m}(q^m)$.

Case II. Let *m* be odd. It is known that $GU_m(q)$ contains an element, namely *B*, of the order O(x). By our assumption on O(x), we see that *B* is an irreducible element of $GL_m(q^2)$ and since $GU_m(q) = \{T \in GL_m(q^2) : T^{\tau}T^t = I_m\}$, where τ is a field automorphism of $GL_m(q^2)$ of the order 2, we have $B^{\tau}B^t = I_m$. Set $A = bd(\underline{B, \ldots, B}) \in GL_n(q^2)$. For the

field automorphism τ_1 of $GL_n(q^2)$ of the order 2, $A^{\tau_1}A^t = I_n$ and hence, $A \in GU_n(q)$. Since B is an irreducible element of $GL_m(q^2)$, Schur's lemma guarantees that $C_{GL_n(q^2)}(A) = \{h = (h_{ij}) : h_{ij} \in C_{GL_m(q^2)}(B) \cup \{0\}$, for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n/m\}$. Again by the irreducibility of B, we get that $C_{GL_m(q^2)}(B) \cup \{0\}$ is isomorphic to $GF(q^{2m})$. Thus τ can be considered as an involutory field automorphism of $GF(q^{2m})$. Therefore, $C_{GU_n(q)}(A) = \{h = (h_{ij}) : h_{ij} \in C_{GL_m(q^2)}(B) \cup \{0\}$, for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n/m$ and $h^{\tau_2}h^t = I_{n/m}\} \cong GU_{n/m}(q^m)$, where τ_2 is an involutory field automorphism of $GL_{n/m}(q^{2m})$ induced by τ .

On the other hand, $GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)$ contains an element of the order $q^n - (-1)^n$ and hence we may assume that $y \in C_{GU_n(q)}(A)$. Thus both $A, x \in C_{GU_n(q)}(y) = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Since O(A) = O(x)and $\langle \alpha \rangle$ contains exactly one subgroup of a given order, we have $\langle A \rangle = \langle x \rangle$ and hence, $C_{GU_n(q)}(x) = C_{GU_n(q)}(A) \cong GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)$, as desired.

If (n,q) = (4,2), then r = 5. If $r \mid |C_{GU_n(q)}(x)|$, then $C_{GU_n(q)}(x)$ contains a non-trivial r-element y. So $|C_{GU_n(q)}(y)| = 15$ and $|Z(GU_n(q))| = 3$. Thus x is a product of a central element and a non-trivial r-element. This shows that $|C_{GU_n(q)}(x)| = |C_{GU_n(q)}(y)| = 15$, as claimed. \Box

Corollary 2.9 Let $r \in Z_n(-q)$. If x is a non-central element of $SU_n(q)$, then either $r \nmid |C_{SU_n(q)}(x)|$ or there exists a divisor $m \neq 1$ of n such that $|C_{SU_n(q)}(x)| = |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|/(q+1)$,

where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$. In the latter case, if (n,q) = (4,2), then m = 4.

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma 2.8 and the fact that if α is an element of the order $q^n - (-1)^n$ of $GU_n(q)$, then $[\langle \alpha \rangle : \langle \alpha \rangle \cap SU_n(q)] = [GU_n(q) : SU_n(q)] = q + 1$. \Box

Corollary 2.10 Let $r \in Z_n(-q)$. If x is a non-trivial element of G, then either $|cl_G(x)|_r = |PSU_n(q)|_r$ or there exists a divisor $m \neq 1$ of n such that $|cl_G(x)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$ and either $\beta = 1$ or $\operatorname{gcd}(O(x), \operatorname{gcd}(m, q+1)) \neq 1$ and $\beta | \operatorname{gcd}(q+1, m)$. In the latter case, if (n, q) = (4, 2), then $m \neq 2$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Corollary 2.9. \Box

Lemma 2.11 Let n > 2. If $r \in Z_{n-1}(-q)$, then for every non-trivial $x \in G$, either $|cl_G(x)|_r = |PSU_n(q)|_r$ or there exists a divisor m of n-1 such that $|cl_G(x)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)|GL_{(n-1)/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$. Also, if $q+1 \mid n$, then $m \neq 1$.

Proof. The same argument used in the proof of Lemma 2.8 completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.12 [6, Lemma 2.9] Let H be a finite centerless group with $r \in \pi(H)$ and let $\alpha \in cs(H)$ be maximal in cs(H) by divisibility.

- (i) If for every $\beta \in cs(H)$, $|H|_r > |\beta|_r$, then there exists a non-trivial r-element $u \in H$ such that $|cl_H(u)|$ divides α .
- (ii) If $\operatorname{Max}\{|\beta|_r : \beta \in cs(H)\} = r^t$ and for every $\beta \in cs(H) \{1\}$ with $|\beta|_r < r^t$, we have $|\beta|_{r'} \nmid \alpha$, then $|H|_r = r^t$.

Lemma 2.13 (i) $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}, \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})} \in cs(G)$. Moreover,

$$\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}, \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})}$$

are maximal in cs(G) by divisibility;

- (ii) if $t \in \pi(G)$ such that $|G|_t > |PSU_n(q)|_t$, then there exist t-elements $x_n, x_{n-1} \in G$ such that $|cl_G(x_n)|$ divides $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n (-1)^n)}$ and $|cl_G(x_{n-1})|$ divides $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1})}$;
- (iii) $|PSU_n(q)|$ divides |G| and $\pi(PSU_n(q)) = \pi(G)$.

Proof. From Corollary 2.10 and Lemma 2.11, $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-1)^n)}, \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1})} \in cs(G)$. Now suppose by contradiction that $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-1)^n)}$ is not maximal in cs(G) by divisibility. Since $cs(G) = cs(PSU_n(q))$, we conclude that there exists $x \in PSU_n(q)$ such that $|cl_{PSU_n(q)}(x)| \neq \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-1)^n)}$ and $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-1)^n)}$ divides $|cl_{PSU_n(q)}(x)|$. Thus $|C_{PSU_n(q)}(x)|$ divides $\frac{(q^n-(-1)^n)}{\gcd(n,q+1)(q+1)}$, so x is a semi-simple element of $PSU_n(q)$. Thus there exists a maximal torus T of $PSU_n(q)$ containing x and hence, $T \leq C_{PSU_n(q)}(x)$. Therefore, |T| divides $\frac{(q^n-(-1)^n)}{\gcd(n,q+1)(q+1)}$ and hence, considering the orders of maximal tori of $PSU_n(q)$ (see [18]) shows that $|T| = \frac{(q^n-(-1)^n)}{\gcd(n,q+1)(q+1)}$. Therefore, $|C_{PSU_n(q)}(x)| = \frac{(q^n-(-1)^n)}{\gcd(n,q+1)(q+1)}$, which is a contradiction to our assumption. The same reasoning can be applied to prove that $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-(-1)^{n-1})^{n-1})}$ is maximal in cs(G) by divisibility, as wated in (i). Now (ii) follows from (i) and Lemma 2.12(i). Finally, by (i), $\ln((\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-1)^n)}, \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1})}) = |PSU_n(q)|$ divides |G| and applying the same argument given in the proof of [3, Corollary 2.8] shows that $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi(PSU_n(q))$, hence $\pi(PSU_n(q)) = \pi(G)$, as watted in (ii). \Box

Lemma 2.14 For $\alpha \in \{n, n-1\}$, let $r_{\alpha} \in Z_{\alpha}(-q)$.

(i)
$$|G|_{r_{\alpha}} = |PSU_n(q)|_{r_{\alpha}}.$$

(ii) If $\gamma \in cs(G) - \{1\}$ such that $|\gamma|_{r_{\alpha}} < |G|_{r_{\alpha}}$, then there exists a divisor m of α such that $\gamma = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta(q+1)^{n-\alpha}|GL^{\epsilon}_{\alpha/m}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$ and either $\beta = 1$ or $\alpha = n$ and $\beta | \operatorname{gcd}(q+1,m)$. Also, if either $\alpha = n$ or $\alpha = n-1$ and q+1 | n, then $m \neq 1$.

Proof. Corollary 2.10, Lemmas 2.11 and 2.13, and a trivial verification guarantee that r_{α} and $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)^{n-\alpha}(q^{\alpha}-(-1)^{\alpha})}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.12(ii) and so complete the proof of (i). Now (ii) follows from (i), Corollary 2.10 and Lemma 2.11. \Box

Lemma 2.15 [6, Lemma 2.12] Let H be a finite group with $Z(H) = \{1\}$ and $r \in \pi(H)$ such that $|H|_r = Max\{|\gamma|_r : \gamma \in cs(H)\}$. Let x be a non-trivial r-element of H, let $B = \{\gamma \in cs(H) - \{1\} : |\gamma|_r < |H|_r\}$ and let ξ be maximal in cs(H) by divisibility. Assume $|\xi|_r = 1$ and for every $\beta \in B - \{\xi\}$, either there exists $t \in \pi(H) - \{r\}$ such that $|\xi|_t \neq 1$ and one of the following holds:

(a) $|\beta|_t = 1$, $|H|_t = Max\{|\gamma|_t : \gamma \in cs(H)\}$ and there is not any $\delta \in B - \{\beta\}$ with $|\delta|_t < |H|_t$ and $\beta \mid \delta$; (b) $|\beta|_t = \operatorname{Min}\{|\gamma|_t : \gamma \in B\} \neq |H|_t, 1,$

or $B' = \{\gamma \in B : \beta \mid \gamma\}$ contains exactly two elements and for every $\gamma \in B'$, we have $|\beta|_r = |\gamma|_r$ and either $|\gamma| = |\beta|$ or $|\gamma|_{r'}/|\beta|_{r'}$ is not a prime power. Then

- (i) $|cl_H(x)| = \xi$. Moreover, $C_H(x) = O_r(C_H(x)) \times O_{r'}(C_H(x))$, $O_{r'}(C_H(x))$ is abelian and $C_H(x)$ is nilpotent.
- (ii) For every r'-element $w \in C_H(x), C_H(x) \leq C_H(w)$.

Lemma 2.16 For $\alpha \in \{n, n-1\}$, let $r_{\alpha} \in Z_{\alpha}(-q)$. Then

- (i) for every r_{n-1} -element $x_{n-1} \in G \{1\}$, $|cl_G(x_{n-1})| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1})}$. Moreover, $C_G(x_{n-1}) = O_{r_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1})) \times O_{r'_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1}))$, $O_{r'_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1}))$ is abelian and $C_G(x_{n-1})$ is nilpotent.
- (ii) If n is prime or (n,q) = (4,2), then for every r_n -element $x_n \in G \{1\}$, $|cl_G(x_n)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n (-1)^n)}$. Moreover, $C_G(x_n) = O_{r_n}(C_G(x_n)) \times O_{r'_n}(C_G(x_n))$, $O_{r'_n}(C_G(x_n))$ is abelian and $C_G(x_n)$ is nilpotent.
- (iii) For every r'_{n-1} -element $w_{n-1} \in C_G(x_{n-1}), C_G(x_{n-1}) \le C_G(w_{n-1}).$
- (iv) If n is prime or (n,q) = (4,2), then for every r'_n -element $w_n \in C_G(x_n), C_G(x_n) \leq C_G(w_n)$.

Proof. Fix $T_{\alpha} = \{\beta \in cs(G) - \{1\} : |\beta|_{r_{\alpha}} < |G|_{r_{\alpha}}\}$. Lemmas 2.13 and 2.14(ii), and a trivial verification lead us to see that r_{α} , $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)^{n-\alpha}(q^{\alpha}-(-1)^{\alpha})}$ and T_{α} satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.15 and so complete the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.17 Let $u \in \pi(PSU_n(q)) - \{p\}$.

(i) If $\{q, u\} \neq \{2, 3\}$, then $|PSU_n(q)|_u < q^{3n/2}$. Also, if

 $(q, u) \notin \{(2, 3), (3, 2), (7, 2), (8, 3), (4, 5)\},\$

then $|PSU_n(q)|_u < \frac{1}{2}q^{n-1}q^{(n-1)/4}$.

- (ii) If $\{q, u\} \neq \{2, 3\}$, then for $(q, u) \neq (7, 2), (8, 3), |PSU_4(q)|_u < q^{3.5}$ and, $|PSU_4(7)|_2 < 7^{3.57}, |PSU_4(8)|_3 < 8^{3.7}, |PSU_4(2)|_3 < 2^{6.5}$ and $|PSU_4(3)|_2 < 3^{4.5}$. If $\{q, u\} \neq \{2, 3\},$ then $|PSU_5(q)|_u < q^{5.5}$ and, $|PSU_5(2)|_3 < 2^8$ and $|PSU_5(3)|_2 < 3^7$. If $\{q, u\} \neq \{2, 3\},$ $\{2, 3\},$ then $|PSU_6(q)|_u < q^7$ and, $|PSU_6(2)|_3 < 2^{10}$ and $|PSU_6(3)|_2 < 3^9$. Moreover, $|PSU_n(3)|_2 < 3^{1.9n-2.4}$ and $|PSU_n(2)|_3 < 2^{2.4n-0.8}.$
- (iii) If $n \ge 3$, then for every $x \in PSU_n(q) \{1\}$, either $|cl_{PSU_n(q)}(x)| > |PSU_n(q)|_u$ or $\{q,u\} = \{2,3\}$. Also, if $n \ge 6$ and $(q,u) \notin \{(2,3), (3,2), (7,2), (8,3), (4,5)\}$, then for every $x \in PSU_n(q) - \{1\}$, either $|cl_{PSU_n(q)}(x)|_{p'} > |PSU_n(q)|_u$ or $q \notin \{2,3,4,7,8\}$, $q+1 \nmid n$ and $|cl_{PSU_n(q)}(x)| = \frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GU_{n-1}(q)|}$.

Proof. Considering the order of $PSU_n(q)$ completes the proof of (i) and (ii). Since $C_{PSU_n(q)}(x) < PSU_n(q)$, we deduce that there exists a maximal subgroup M of $PSU_n(q)$ containing $C_{PSU_n(q)}(x)$. Considering the orders of maximal subgroups of $PSU_n(q)$, mentioned in [12, Tables 3.5.A-F] and the structural properties of members of these tables [12, Chap. 4] completes the proof of (iii). \Box

Remark 2.18 Let $r_n \in Z_n(-q)$. If n is an odd prime or (n,q) = (4,2), then $gcd(\frac{q^n - (-1)^n}{(q+1)gcd(n,q+1)}, q+1) = 1$ and hence Lemma 2.14(ii) shows that

$$\{\beta \in cs(G) - \{1\} : |\beta|_{r_n} < |PSU_n(q)|_{r_n}\} = \left\{\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}\right\}.$$
(2)

If there exists $t \in \pi(G) = \pi(PSU_n(q))$ such that $|G|_t > |PSU_n(q)|_t$, then Lemma 2.14(i) shows that $t \notin Z_n(-q) \cup Z_{n-1}(-q)$ and Lemma 2.13(ii) forces $G - \{1\}$ to contain a t-element x such that $|cl_G(x)|$ divides $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{q^n - (-1)^n}$ and hence, $r_n \nmid |cl_G(x)|$. Thus (2) shows that $|cl_G(x)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}$. Therefore, $C_G(x)$ contains a non-trivial r_n -element w, which by (2), $|cl_G(x)| = |cl_G(w)|$. So Lemma 2.16(iv) guarantees that $Z(T) \leq C_G(w) = C_G(x)$, for some $T \in Syl_t(G)$. Thus again Lemma 2.16(iv) shows that if $y \in Z(T)$, then $C_G(w) \leq C_G(y)$ and hence $|cl_G(y)|$ divides $|cl_G(w)|$. Therefore, $r_n \nmid |cl_G(y)|$. Thus (2) shows that $|cl_G(y)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}$. But $y \in Z(T)$, so $t \nmid |cl_G(y)|$ and hence, $t \in \pi(\frac{(q^n - (-1)^n)}{(q+1)\gcd(n,q+1)})$, by Lemma 2.13(ii). On the other hand, n is prime or (n,q) = (4,2) and hence $\pi(\frac{(q^n - (-1)^n)}{(q+1)\gcd(n,q+1)}) = Z_n(-q)$, which is a contradiction because $t \notin Z_n(-q)$. Thus $|G| = |PSU_n(q)|$.

Also, let $r_{n-1} \in Z_{n-1}(-q)$. If n-1 is prime and $q+1 \mid n$, then Lemma 2.14(ii) shows

that

$$\{\beta \in cs(G) - \{1\} : |\beta|_{r_{n-1}} < |PSU_n(q)|_{r_{n-1}}\} = \left\{\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})}\right\}$$

Thus the same reasoning as above shows that $|G| = |PSU_n(q)|$.

Lemma 2.19 [6, Lemma 2.15] Let H be a finite group with Z(H) = 1 and $r, t \in \pi(H)$.

- (i) If for every $\beta \in cs(H) \{1\}$ with $|\beta|_r < |H|_r$, $t \mid \beta$, then for every non-trivial r-element $x_r \in H$ and $T \in \text{Syl}_t(H)$, $C_H(x_r) \cap Z(T) = 1$.
- (ii) If for every $\beta \in cs(H) \{1\}$, either $|\beta|_r = |H|_r$ or $|\beta|_t = |H|_t$, then
 - (a) $rt \notin \omega(H)$;
 - (b) for every r-element $x_r \in H \{1\}$ and t-element $x_t \in H \{1\}$, $C_H(x_r) \cap C_H(x_t) = 1$. In particular, for every $u \in \pi(H)$, $|C_H(x_r)|_u \leq |cl_H(x_t)|_u$ and $|H|_u \leq |cl_H(x_r)|_u |cl_H(x_t)|_u$.

Lemma 2.20 For some $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$, let K be a normal π -subgroup of G and $\overline{G} = \frac{G}{K}$. For $\alpha \in \{n, n-1\}$, let (n,q) = (4,2) and $r_3 = r_4 = 5$ or (n,q) = (3,3) and $r_2 = r_3 = 7$ or $(n,q) \neq (4,2), (3,3)$ and $r_\alpha \in Z_\alpha(-q)$. Let x_α be an r_α -element of $G - \{1\}$. Then:

- (i) for every $P \in Syl_p(G)$, $C_G(x_\alpha) \cap Z(P) = 1$. Also, if $\{q, t\} = \{2, 3\}$ and $T \in Syl_t(G)$, then $C_G(x_n) \cap Z(T) = \{1\}$;
- (ii) if $(n,q) \neq (3,3), (4,2)$, then for every $\gamma \in cs(G) \{1\}$, either $|\gamma|_{r_n} = |G|_{r_n}$ or $|\gamma|_{r_{n-1}} = |G|_{r_{n-1}}$;
- (iii) if $(n,q) \neq (3,3), (4,2)$, then $r_n r_{n-1} \notin \omega(G)$;
- (iv) if $(n,q) \neq (3,3), (4,2)$, then $C_G(x_n) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) = \{1\}$;
- (v) for every $t \in \pi(G)$, either $(n,q) \in \{(3,3), (4,2)\}$ and $|G|_t = |PSU_n(q)|_t$ or

$$|G|_t \le \frac{(|GU_n(q)|_t)^2}{|q+1|_t|q^n - (-1)^n|_t|q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1}|_t}$$

In particular, $|G|_t \leq (|PSU_n(q)|_t)^2$ and $|C_G(x_\alpha)|_t \leq |PSU_n(q)|_t$;

- (vi) if $r_n, r_{n-1} \notin \pi$, then $|\frac{(q+1)^{n-\alpha}(q^{\alpha}-(-1)^{\alpha})|}{(q+1)\gcd(n,q+1)}|_{\pi'} | |C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{\alpha})|$;
- (vii) if $r_n, r_{n-1} \notin \pi$, then $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})$ is nilpotent and $O_{r'_{n-1}}(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1}))$ is abelian. Also, if n is prime or (n,q) = (4,2), then $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)$ is nilpotent and $O_{r'_n}(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n))$ is abelian.

Proof. (i) follows immediately from Lemmas 2.14(ii) and 2.19(i). For the proof of (ii), we assume that such $\gamma \in cs(G)$ exists. We derive a contradiction to this assumption. Since $|\gamma|_{r_n} \neq |G|_{r_n}$, we deduce from Lemma 2.14(ii) that $\gamma = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $m \neq 1$ is a divisor of $n, \epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$ and $\beta | \operatorname{gcd}(q+1,m)$. Thus considering Lemma 2.14(i) gives that $|\gamma|_{r_{n-1}} = |PSU_n(q)|_{r_{n-1}} = |G|_{r_{n-1}}$, which is a contradiction. From (ii) and Lemma 2.19(ii)(a,b), we obtain (iii) and (iv). Also, if (n,q) = (3,3), (4,2), then Remark 2.18 shows that $|G| = |PSU_n(q)|$ and otherwise, by Lemma 2.19(ii)(b), for every $t \in \pi(G), |G|_t \leq |cl_G(x_n)|_t |cl_G(x_{n-1})|_t$. Thus (v) follows from Lemma 2.14(ii). Now we prove (vi). From Lemmas 2.14(ii) and 2.16(i), $|PSU_n(q)|$ divides |G| and $|cl_G(x_\alpha)| \mid \frac{|PSU_n(q)|(q+1)\operatorname{gcd}(n,q+1)}{(q+1)^{n-\alpha}(q^{\alpha}-(-1)^{\alpha})}$. Thus $|G|(q+1)^{n-\alpha}(q^{\alpha}-(-1)^{\alpha})| |C_G(x_\alpha)|$. Also Lemma 2.4(iv) shows that $C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{x}_{\alpha}) = \frac{C_G(x_{\alpha})K}{K} \cong \frac{C_G(x_{\alpha})}{C_K(x_{\alpha})}$, so (vi) follows and Lemma 2.16(i,ii) completes the proof of (vii). \Box

Lemma 2.21 Let $r_n \in Z_n(-q)$ and x_n be an r_n -element of $G - \{1\}$. Also let $K \leq G$ be a s-group for some $s \in \pi(G)$.

- (i) If $S \in \text{Syl}_s(G)$ such that $K \cap C_S(x_n) \neq \{1\}$, then there exists $1 \neq y_n \in K \cap C_S(x_n)$ such that $Z(K)C_S(x_n) \leq C_G(y_n)$.
- (ii) If $S \in \text{Syl}_s(G)$ such that $Z(K) \cap C_S(x_n) \neq \{1\}$, then there exists $1 \neq y_n \in Z(K) \cap C_S(x_n)$ such that $KC_S(x_n) \leq C_G(y_n)$.

Proof. Since $K \leq G$, $\{1\} \neq K \cap C_S(x_n) \leq C_S(x_n)$ and hence, $Z(C_S(x_n)) \cap (K \cap C_S(x_n)) \neq \{1\}$. Thus there exists $1 \neq y_n \in Z(C_S(x_n)) \cap K$, so $C_S(x_n) \leq C_G(y_n)$. Also, $y_n \in K$ and hence, $Z(K) \leq C_G(y_n)$. Therefore, $Z(K)C_S(x_n) \leq C_G(y_n)$, as desired in (i). The same argument completes the proof of (ii). \Box

Lemma 2.22 Let $(n,q) \neq (3,3), (4,2), \alpha \in \{n,n-1\}, r_{\alpha} \in Z_{\alpha}(-q) \text{ and let } x_{\alpha} \text{ be an } r_{\alpha}\text{-element of } G - \{1\}.$ Also let $K \trianglelefteq G$ be an abelian s-group for some $s \in \pi(G)$. If $C_{K}(x_{n}), C_{K}(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$, then there exist a divisor m_{1} of n and a divisor m_{2} of n-1 such that $m_{1} \neq 1$ and $|K| \leq \frac{|\beta|_{s}|GL_{n/m_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}(q^{m_{1}})|_{s}|GL_{(n-1)/m_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{2}}(q^{m_{2}})|_{s}}{|q^{n}-(-1)^{n}|_{s}|q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}|_{s}}$, where β divides $gcd(m_{1}, q+1), \epsilon_{1} = sgn((-1)^{m_{1}})$ and $\epsilon_{2} = sgn((-1)^{m_{2}}).$

Proof. Since $C_K(x_n) \neq \{1\}$, there exists $S \in \text{Syl}_s(G)$ such that $1 \neq C_S(x_n) \in \text{Syl}_s(C_G(x_n))$, so Lemma 2.21 shows that there exists $1 \neq y_n \in C_K(x_n)$ such that $Z(K)C_S(x_n) = KC_S(x_n) \leq C_S(x_n)$ $C_{G}(y_{n}). \text{ Also, if } 1 \neq y_{n-1} \in C_{K}(x_{n-1}), \text{ then Lemma 2.16(iii) shows that } KC_{G}(x_{n-1}) \leq C_{G}(y_{n-1}). \text{ Therefore, } |cl_{K}(x_{n})| = \frac{|K|}{|C_{K}(x_{n})|} \text{ divides } \frac{|C_{G}(y_{n})|_{s}}{|C_{S}(x_{n})|} = \frac{|cl_{G}(x_{n})|_{s}}{|cl_{G}(y_{n})|_{s}} \text{ and } |cl_{K}(x_{n-1})| = \frac{|K|}{|C_{K}(x_{n-1})|} \text{ divides } \frac{|C_{G}(y_{n-1})|_{s}}{|C_{G}(x_{n-1})|_{s}} = \frac{|cl_{G}(x_{n-1})|_{s}}{|cl_{G}(y_{n-1})|_{s}}. \text{ On the other hand, Lemma 2.14(ii) implies that there exist a divisor } m_{1} \text{ of } n \text{ and a divisor } m_{2} \text{ of } n-1 \text{ such that } m_{1} \neq 1, \frac{|cl_{G}(x_{n})|_{s}}{|cl_{G}(y_{n})|_{s}} \text{ divides } \frac{|\beta|_{s}|GL_{n/m_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}(q^{m_{1}})|_{s}}{|q^{n}-(-1)^{n}|_{s}} \text{ and } \frac{|cl_{G}(x_{n-1})|_{s}}{|cl_{G}(y_{n-1})|_{s}} \text{ divides } \frac{|GL_{(n-1)/m_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{2}}(q^{m_{2}})|_{s}}{|q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}|_{s}}, \text{ where } \beta \mid \gcd(m_{1},q+1), \epsilon_{1} = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^{m_{1}}) \text{ and } \epsilon_{2} = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^{m_{2}}). \text{ Since } C_{K}(x_{n})C_{K}(x_{n-1}) \leq K \text{ and } C_{K}(x_{n}) \cap C_{K}(x_{n-1}) = \{1\}, \text{ by Lemma 2.20(iv), } |C_{K}(x_{n})| \text{ divides } \frac{|K|}{|C_{K}(x_{n-1})|}. \text{ Therefore, } |K| = |C_{K}(x_{n})||cl_{K}(x_{n})| \text{ divides } |cl_{K}(x_{n-1})||cl_{K}(x_{n})|, \text{ hence the above statements complete the proof. } \Box$

Lemma 2.23 Let H be a finite simple group of Lie type over a field with q elements such that $|H|_p = q^u$. If $r \in \pi(H) - \{p\}$, then there exists $1 \le i \le u$ such that $r \in Z_i(q)$ unless

- (i) $H = PSL_2(q)$ and $r \in Z_2(q)$;
- (ii) $H = PSU_3(q)$ and $r \in Z_6(q)$;
- (iii) $H = {}^{2}B_{2}(q)$ and $r \in Z_{4}(q)$;
- (iv) $H = {}^{2}G_{2}(q)$ and $r \in Z_{6}(q)$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately by considering the orders of finite simple groups of Lie type. \Box

Lemma 2.24 [2, Proof of Theorem 3.3, Case 2] Let $r \in Z_n(q)$. If w is a non-trivial relement of $PSL_n(q)$ and ψ is a non-trivial field automorphism of $PSL_n(q)$, then $PSL_n(q)$ does not contain any element g such that $(\psi i_g)^{-1}i_w(\psi i_g) \in \{i_w, i_{(w^t)^{-1}}\}$, where for every $x, y \in PSL_n(q), i_y(x) = y^{-1}xy$.

Theorem 2.25 If $N = PSU_n(q) \leq H \leq Aut(PSU_n(q))$ and $cs(H) = cs(PSU_n(q))$, then $H \cong PSU_n(q)$.

Proof. Let **0** be a column vector with entries 0 and **1** be a column vector with entries 1. Let $J_1 = A_1 = (1)$, $J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, for some $a_2 \in GF(q^2) - \{0\}$ such that $a_2 + a_2^q = 0$, where $GF(q^2)$ denotes a field with q^2 elements. For $n \ge 3$, fix $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0^t & 1 \\ 0 & J_{n-2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1^t A_{n-2} & a_n \\ 0 & A_{n-2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0^t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, for some $a_n \in GF(q^2)$ with $a_n + a_n^q = -\mathbf{1}^t J_{n-2}\mathbf{1}$. Since $SU_n(q) = \{A \in SL_n(q^2) : A^t J_n A^{\tau} = J_n\}$, we get that $A_n \in SU_n(q)$. Note that for a diagonal automorphism δ of $PSU_n(q)$ of order gcd(n, q+1), $PSU_n(q).\langle\delta\rangle \cong PGU_n(q)$ and an easy calculation shows that $|C_{PGU_n(q)}(A_nZ(GU_n(q)))|$ is a *p*-number. Thus if *H* contains a non-trivial diagonal automorphism, then $|C_{H\cap(PSU_n(q).\langle\delta\rangle)}(\bar{A}_n)|$ is a *p*-number and hence, for some $s \in \pi(H \cap (PSU_n(q).\langle\delta\rangle)/PSU_n(q))$, $|cl_H(\bar{A}_n)|_s > |PSU_n(q)|_s$, where \bar{A}_n is the image of A_n in *H*. Therefore, $|cl_H(\bar{A}_n)| \in cs(H) - cs(PSU_n(q))$. So $cs(H) \neq cs(PSU_n(q))$, which is a contradiction. This shows that *H* does not contain any diagonal automorphism of $PSU_n(q)$.

Now let H contain a field automorphism ψ . If n is odd, then let $r \in Z_n(-q)$ and let A be a non-trivial r-element of $PSU_n(q)$. An easy verification shows that $Z_n(-q) \subseteq Z_n(q^2)$, so $r \in Z_n(q^2)$. Since $PSU_n(q) \lesssim PSL_n(q^2)$, Lemma 2.24 shows that $C_{PSU_n(q).\langle\psi\rangle}(i_A) = C_{PSU_n(q)}(i_A)$, where for every $x \in PSU_n(q)$, $i_A(x) = A^{-1}xA$. Also, it is known that $|C_{PSU_n(q)}(i_A)| = \frac{(q^n+1)}{(q+1)\gcd(n,q+1)}$. Therefore, for some divisors $k' \neq 1$ of k and k'' of $\gcd(n, q+1)$, $|cl_H(i_A)| = \frac{k'k''|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n+1)}$, which is a contradiction because by Lemma 2.13(i), $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n+1)}$ is maximal in $cs(PSU_n(q))$ by divisibility. Now let n be even and $r \in Z_n(-q)$. Again an easy verification shows that $Z_n(-q) \subseteq Z_{n/2}(q^2)$ and hence, $r \in Z_{n/2}(q^2)$. Let A be a non-trivial r-element of $SL_{n/2}(q^2)$. Then since $SU_n(q) = \{C \in SL_n(q^2) : C^tK_nC^\tau = K_n\}$, where $I_n = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{n-\operatorname{times}})$ and $\kappa_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n/2} \\ I_{n/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $E = \begin{pmatrix} (A^t)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & A^\tau \end{pmatrix} Z(SU_n(q))$ is an r-element of $PSU_n(q)$ and hence, by considering Lemma 2.24, we see that $C_{PSU_n(q).\langle\psi\rangle}(i_E) = C_{PSU_n(q)}(i_E)$. Thus applying the above argument leads us to a contradiction.

This shows that H does not contain any field automorphism of $PSU_n(q)$. The same reasoning shows that H does not contain any diagonal-field automorphism. Thus $H \cong PSU_n(q)$, as claimed. \Box

3 The proof of the main theorem

By assumption, $n \ge 3$ and since $PSU_n(q)$ is considered as a simple group, $(n,q) \ne (3,2)$. Define the natural function τ as follows:

$$\tau(m) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m \text{ and } m/2 \text{ are even} \\ m/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } m/2 \text{ is odd} \\ 2m, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Since $q = p^k$, for every natural number $m, Z_{\tau(m)k}(p) \subseteq Z_m(-q)$ and by Lemma 2.2, $Z_{\tau(m)k}(p) = \emptyset$ if and only if $(m,q) \in \{(3,2), (2,3), (2,2)\}$. Thus $Z_{\tau(n)k}(p) \neq \emptyset$ and also, $Z_{\tau(n-1)k}(p) = \emptyset$ if and only if $(n,q) \in \{(4,2), (3,3)\}$. So hereafter, we may assume $r_n \in Z_{\tau(n)k}(p) \subseteq Z_n(-q)$. Also, if $(n,q) \neq (4,2), (3,3)$, let $r_{n-1} \in Z_{\tau(n-1)k}(p) \subseteq Z_{n-1}(-q)$ and otherwise, let $r_{n-1} = r_n$. For $\alpha \in \{n, n-1\}$, suppose that x_{α} is an r_{α} -element of $G - \{1\}$ and let N be a normal subgroup of G such that for some $s \in \pi(G)$, N is s-elementary abelian and $|N| = s^e$. We prove that N = 1. Suppose by contradiction that $N \neq 1$ and hence, $O_s(G) \neq 1$. Since N is a normal and abelian subgroup of G, we deduce that for every $y \in N - \{1\}$,

$$cl_G(y) \subset N \le C_G(y). \tag{3}$$

Therefore,

$$|cl_G(y)| < |N| \le |C_G(y)|_s \le |G|_s.$$
(4)

Let $N = \Omega_1(O_s(G))$, then

$$|cl_G(y)| < |O_s(G)| \le |G|_s.$$

$$\tag{5}$$

We prove the main theorem in a sequence of steps.

Step 1. If n is prime or (n,q) = (4,2), then $O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$. Moreover, if n-1 is prime and $q+1 \mid n$, then $O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) = \{1\}$. *Proof.* Let n be a prime or (n,q) = (4,2) and let $1 \neq y_n \in O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_n)$. By Remark 2.18, $|G| = |PSU_n(q)|$ and

$$|cl_G(y_n)| \in \{\gamma \in cs(G) - \{1\} : |\gamma|_{r_n} < |PSU_n(q)|_{r_n}\} = \left\{\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}\right\}.$$

Also, by (5), $q^{n(n-1)/2+(n-1)} < \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n-(-1)^n)} = |cl_G(y_n)| < |O_s(G)| \le |G|_s$ and either $n \ne 3$ and $|G|_s = |PSU_n(q)|_s < q^{\max\{n(n-1)/2, 2.4n-0.8\}}$ or $|G|_s = |PSU_n(q)|_s < q^5$, which is impossible. So $O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$.

Let n-1 be prime and $q+1 \mid n$. If $1 \neq y_{n-1} \in O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_{n-1})$, then replacing r_n and $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^{n-}(-1)^n)}$ with r_{n-1} and $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1})(q+1)}$ in the above statement completes the proof. \Box Step 2. If $s \neq p$, then $O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$ and if s = p, then $O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$. In particular, $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$ and if $1 \neq y_{n-1} \in Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_{n-1})$, then nis not prime, $q+1 \nmid n$ and $|cl_G(y_{n-1})| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)|GU_{n-1}(q)|}$. Proof. On the contrary, let $s \neq p$ and $1 \neq y_n \in O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_n)$. Thus there exists a divisor m of n such that $m \neq 1$ and $|cl_G(y_n)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$ and $\beta | \operatorname{gcd}(m, q+1)$. Also by (5), $|cl_G(y_n)| < |O_s(G)| \leq |G|_s$. Thus Lemma 2.20(v) shows that $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|} \leq \frac{(|GU_n(q)|_s)^2}{|q^n - (-1)^n|_s |q+1|_s |q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1}|_s}$, which is impossible. Therefore, $O_s(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$, as wanted.

Now let s = p. Suppose by contradiction that $O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) = \{1\}$. Thus $|O_p(G)| \leq |cl_G(x_{n-1})|_p \leq |PSU_n(q)|_p$, by Lemma 2.4(ii). If $1 \neq y \in O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_n)$, then by Lemma 2.14(ii) and (5), there exists a divisor m of n such that $m \neq 1$ and $|cl_G(y)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$ and $\beta | \operatorname{gcd}(m, q+1)$, and $|cl_G(y)| < |O_p(G)|$. Therefore, $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|} < |PSU_n(q)|_p$, which is impossible. So $O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$ and Lemma 2.4(v) forces $r_{n-1}, r_n \mid |O_p(G)| - 1 = p^a - 1$. Thus $\tau(n)k, \tau(n-1)k \mid a$. This shows that $n(n-1)k \mid a$, which is impossible because $p^a = |O_p(G)| \leq |PSU_n(q)|_p = p^{n(n-1)k/2}$. Therefore, $O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$, as claimed. The same reasoning shows that $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$.

If $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$ and *n* is prime, then since Remark 2.18 shows that $|G| = |PSU_n(q)|$ and $|cl_G(x_{n-1})|_p = |PSU_n(q)|_p$, we get that $|C_G(x_{n-1})|_p = 1$, which is a contradiction. So if $O_p(G) \neq \{1\}$, then *n* is not prime.

Finally suppose, contrary to our claim, that $1 \neq y_{n-1} \in Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_{n-1})$ such that $|cl_G(y_{n-1})| \neq \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)|GU_{(n-1)}(q)|}$. Lemma 2.14(ii) shows that there exists a divisor $m \neq 1$ of n-1 such that $|cl_G(y_{n-1})| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)|GL_{(n-1)/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \text{sgn}((-1)^m)$. If $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$, then Lemma 2.4(ii) shows that $|Z(O_p(G))| < |cl_G(x_n)|_p \leq |PSU_n(q)|_p = q^{n(n-1)/2}$. If $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_n) \neq \{1\}$, then applying Lemma 2.22 leads us to divisor $m_1 \neq 1$ of n such that $|Z(O_p(G))| < q^{\frac{(n-1)((n-1)/m-1)}{2}}q^{\frac{n(n/m_1-1)}{2}}$. On the other hand, $y_{n-1} \in Z(O_p(G))$, so $|cl_G(y_{n-1})| < |Z(O_p(G))|$ and hence, $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)|GL_{(n-1)/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|} < q^{n(n-1)/2}$ or $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q+1)|GL_{(n-1)/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|} < q^{\frac{(n-1)((n-1)/m-1)}{2}}q^{\frac{n(n/m_1-1)}{2}}$, which it is impossible. \Box

Step 3. Let $N \neq \{1\}$. Then $n \geq 9$ and $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$ or $n \geq 6$, s = p, n is not prime and $q + 1 \nmid n$. If s = p and n = 6, then $O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$.

Proof. Let $s \neq p$. By Step 2, $N \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$. Lemma 2.4(ii) and (4) show that for every $y \in G$, $|cl_G(y)| < |N| \le |cl_G(x_n)|_s \le |PSU_n(q)|_s$. Lemma 2.17(iii) gives that $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$.

Now let n = 8. If q = 3 and s = 2, then $|N| \le |cl_G(x_n)|_2 \le 2^{18}$. Since $q + 1 \mid n$ and n - 1 is prime, Step 1 shows that $N \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) = \{1\}$ and hence, $\langle x_{n-1} \rangle$ acts fixed-point-freely on $N - \{1\}$. Thus $r_{n-1} = O(x_{n-1})$ divides |N| - 1. But $r_{n-1} = 547$ and $\exp_{547}(2) > 19$,

which is a contradiction. Now let q = 2 and s = 3. Then $|N| \leq |cl_G(x_n)|_3 \leq 3^9$. Since $N \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}, \langle x_n \rangle$ acts fixed-point-freely on $N - \{1\}$. Thus $17 = r_n = O(x_n)$ divides |N| - 1. But $\exp_{17}(3) > 9$, which is a contradiction. Thus if n = 8, then $\{q, s\} \neq \{2, 3\}$. The same reasoning shows that if $n \in \{6, 7\}$, then $\{q, s\} \neq \{2, 3\}$; if $n = 5, (q, s) \neq (3, 2)$; and if $n = 4, (q, s) \neq (2, 3)$. If n = 5 and (q, s) = (2, 3), then since $|N| \leq |cl_G(x_n)|_3 \leq 3^5$, for ever $y \in N$, $|cl_G(y)| < |N| \leq 243$, by (4). Therefore, considering the elements of cs(G) shows that for every $y \in N - \{1\}, |cl_G(y)| \in \{165, 176\}$, so for some $l, h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $a \leq 5$, $165l + 176h = |N| - 1 = 3^a - 1$, which is impossible. Thus if n = 5, then $(q, s) \neq (2, 3)$. The same reasoning shows that if $n \in \{3, 4\}$, then $(q, s) \neq (3, 2)$, as desired.

If s = p, then Step 2 shows that n is not prime and $q + 1 \nmid n$. So $n \neq 3, 5$.

Now let n = 4, s = p and $O_p(G) \neq \{1\}$. Step 2 shows that there exists $1 \neq y_{n-1} \in C_G(x_{n-1}) \cap O_p(G)$. Thus since $Z(O_p(G))C_G(x_{n-1}) \leq C_G(y_{n-1}), r_{n-1} \mid |Z(O_p(G))|/|C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_{n-1})| = p^e$ and $|Z(O_p(G))|/|C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_{n-1})|$ divides $\frac{|C_G(y_{n-1})|_p}{|C_G(x_{n-1})|_p} = \frac{|cl_G(x_{n-1})|_p}{|cl_G(y_{n-1})|_p}$. Also, $|cl_G(y_{n-1})| \in \{\frac{|GU_4(q)|}{(q+1)(q^3+1)}, \frac{|GU_4(q)|}{(q+1)|GU_3(q)|}\}$, so $6k \mid e$ and $p^e \leq \frac{|C_G(y_{n-1})|_p}{|C_G(x_{n-1})|_p} = \frac{|cl_G(x_{n-1})|_p}{|cl_G(y_{n-1})|_p} \leq q^3$. This shows that e = 0 and hence, $Z(O_p(G)) \leq C_G(x_{n-1})$. Thus for $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $\{1\} \neq Z(O_p(G)) \cap Z(Q) \leq C_G(x_{n-1}) \cap Z(Q)$, so $Z(Q) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.20(i). This forces $O_p(G) = \{1\}$, as wanted.

Our next concern is the case n = 6 and s = p. If $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_n) \neq \{1\}$, then there exists $1 \neq y_n \in Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_n)$ such that for some $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(C_G(y_n))$, $Z(O_p(G))C_P(x_n) \leq C_G(y_n)$ and $C_P(x_n) \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(C_G(x_n))$, by Lemma 2.21(ii). So there exist $m \in \{2,3,6\}$ and a divisor β of $\operatorname{gcd}(m, q + 1)$ such that $|cl_G(y_n)| = \frac{|GU_6(q)|}{\beta |GL_{6/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$. Thus $|Z(O_p(G))/C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_n)| = p^b$ divides $|C_G(y_n)|_p/|C_G(x_n)|_p = |cl_G(x_n)|_p/|cl_G(y_n)|_p \leq |GL_{6/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|_p = p^a$, so $b \leq a \leq 6k$. Also, Lemma 2.4(v) shows that $r_n \mid p^b - 1$. But $\exp_{r_n}(p) = 3k$ and hence $3k \mid b$. This forces $b \in \{0, 3k, 6k\}$. On the other hand, for $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$, $Z(Q) \cap Z(O_p(G)) \neq \{1\}$ and $C_G(x_n) \cap Z(Q) = \{1\}$. Hence, $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_{n-1}) \neq \{1\}$. We have $C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_n)C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_{n-1}) \leq Z(O_p(G))$ and hence, $|C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_n)| = p^e$ divides $|Z(O_p(G))/C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_{n-1})| = p^f$, $p^f \leq |cl_G(x_{n-1})|_p = q^{15}$ and $r_5 \mid p^f - 1$. Thus $e \leq f \leq 10$ and hence, $q^{17} < |cl_G(y_n)| < |Z(O_p(G))| = p^b \cdot p^e \leq q^{16}$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $Z(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$. If $O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_n) \neq \{1\}$, then Lemma 2.21(i) allows us to assume that there exist $z_n \in O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_n)$ and $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ such that $C_P(x_n) \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(C_G(x_n))$ and $C_P(x_n) \leq C_P(z_n)$. Hence $Z(O_p(G))C_P(x_n) \leq C_G(z_n)$. By repeating the above argument, $|Z(O_p(G))| \leq q^6$. On the other hand $r_{n-1} | |Z(O_p(G))/C_{Z(O_p(G))}(x_{n-1})| - 1 = p^g - 1$ and hence 10k | g. Therefore, g = 0. So $Z(O_p(G)) \leq C_G(x_{n-1})$, which is a contradiction with Lemma 2.20(i). Thus $O_p(G) \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$, as wanted. \Box

In the following, let $K_0 = O_s(G)$, where $n \ge 9$ and $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$ or $n \ge 6$, s = p, n is not prime and $q + 1 \nmid n$. Otherwise, $K_0 = \{1\}$. Also, suppose that $\overline{M}_0 = \frac{M_0}{K_0}$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $\overline{G} = \frac{G}{K_0}$ and for every $x \in G$, let \overline{x} be the image of x in \overline{G} .

Step 4. If $K_0 \neq \{1\}$ and M_0 is a *t*-elementary abelian group for some $t \in \pi(G)$, then $\overline{M}_0 \cap C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n) = \{1\}.$

Proof. Suppose that, to the contrary, there exists $1 \neq \bar{y}_n \in \bar{M}_0 \cap C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)$. So we can assume that y_n is a t-element of $C_G(x_n)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.14(ii) shows that there exist a divisor mof n and a divisor β of gcd(m, q+1) such that $|cl_G(y_n)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = sgn((-1)^m)$. Note that $K_0 = O_s(G)$, so $s \neq t$. Since y_n is a t-element, Lemmas 2.4(iv,vi) and 2.20(v), and the same reasoning given for (3) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} |cl_G(y_n)|_{s'} &\leq |cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{y}_n)| < |\bar{M}_0| \\ &\leq |C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{y}_n)|_t = |C_G(y_n)|_t = \frac{|G|_t}{|cl_G(y_n)|_t} \leq \frac{|PSU_n(q)|_t|\beta|_t|GL^{\epsilon}_{n/m}(q^m)|_t}{|q+1|_t|\gcd(n,q+1)|_t}, \end{aligned}$$
(6)

because by Lemma 2.20(v), $|G|_t \leq (|PSU_n(q)|_t)^2$. So by considering the different values of n, m and s, and Lemma 2.17(i,ii), we see that one of the following possibilities occurs:

(I) $s = p, (q,t) \in \{(3,2), (4,5), (7,2)\}$ and (n,m) = (6,2). If (q,t) = (3,2), then (6) shows that $|\bar{M}_0| < \frac{|PSU_6(3)|_2|\beta|_2|GL_3(9)|_2}{4.2} \leq 2^{17}$. Since $\langle \bar{x}_5 \rangle$ acts on \bar{M}_0 , applying Lemma 2.4(v) shows that $61 = r_5 = O(\bar{x}_5)$ divides $\frac{|\bar{M}_0|}{|C_{\bar{M}_0}(\bar{x}_5)|} - 1 = 2^{\alpha} - 1$, where $2^{\alpha} \leq |\bar{M}_0|_2 < 2^{17}$. But $\exp_{61}(2) > 17$ and hence, $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, $C_{\bar{M}_0}(\bar{x}_5) = \bar{M}_0$. So $\bar{M}_0 \leq C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_5)$. This gives that $|\bar{M}_0| \leq |C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_5)|_2 = |C_G(x_5)|_2 \leq |PSU_6(3)|_2$ and hence, by (6), $|cl_G(y_n)|_{p'} < |PSU_6(3)|_2$, which is impossible. The same reasoning rules out the case $(q,t) \in \{(4,5), (7,2)\}$.

(II) s = p, (q,t) = (2,3) and $(n,m) \in \{(10,2), (8,2)\}$. If n = 10 and m = 2, then (6) shows that $|\bar{M}_0| < |PSU_{10}(2)|_3 |GU_5(4)|_3 \le 3^{18}$. Since $\langle \bar{x}_{10} \rangle$ acts on \bar{M}_0 , applying Lemma 2.4(v) shows that $31 = r_{10} = O(\bar{x}_{10})$ divides $\frac{|\bar{M}_0|}{|C_{\bar{M}_0}(\bar{x}_{10})|} - 1 = 3^{\alpha} - 1$, where $3^{\alpha} \le |\bar{M}_0|_3 < 3^{18}$. On the other hand, $\exp_{31}(3) = 30$ and hence, $\alpha = 0$. This gives $C_{\bar{M}_0}(\bar{x}_{10}) = \bar{M}_0$, so $\bar{M}_0 \le C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{10})$. Therefore, $|\bar{M}_0| \le |C_G(x_{10})|_3 \le |PSU_{10}(2)|_3$ and hence, by (6), $|cl_G(y_n)|_{p'} < |PSU_{10}(2)|_3$, which is impossible. The same reasoning rules out n = 8 and m = 2. Step 5. If $K_0 \neq \{1\}$ and \overline{M}_0 is a *t*-elementary abelian group for some $t \in \pi(G) - \{s\}$, then $n \ge 9$, $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$ and t = p or $n \ge 8$, s = p, $(q, t) \in \{(2, 3), (3, 2), (7, 2), (8, 3), (4, 5)\}$, *n* is not prime and $q + 1 \nmid n$.

Proof. Since $K_0 \neq \{1\}$, Step 3 shows that $n \geq 9$ and $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$ or $n \geq 6$, s = p, n is not prime and $q + 1 \nmid n$. Let $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$. By Steps 3 and 4, $K_0 \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$ and $\overline{M}_0 \cap C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n) = \{1\}$. Thus $\langle x_n \rangle$ acts fixed-point-freely on $M_0 - \{1\}$. So M_0 is nilpotent and hence, $O_t(G) \neq 1$. Therefore, Step 3 forces t = p, as wanted. The same reasoning shows that if n = 6 and s = p, then $O_t(G) \neq 1$, which is impossible by considering Step 3.

Now let s = p. Then $t \neq p$ and by Step 4, $\bar{M}_0 \cap C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n) = \{1\}$. Thus $|\bar{M}_0| \leq |cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)|_t = |cl_G(x_n)|_t \leq |PSU_n(q)|_t$, by Lemma 2.4(ii,iv). So for some t-element $1 \neq y \in M_0$, Lemma 2.4(vi) yields $|cl_G(y)|_{p'} \leq |cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{y})| < |\bar{M}_0| \leq |PSU_n(q)|_t$. Thus Lemma 2.17(iii) shows that either $q + 1 \nmid n$ and $|cl_G(y)| = \frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GU_{n-1}(q)|}$ or $(q,t) \in \{(2,3), (3,2), (7,2), (8,3), (4,5)\}$. So if $(q,t) \notin \{(2,3), (3,2), (7,2), (8,3), (4,5)\}$, then $|cl_G(y)| = \frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GU_{n-1}(q)|}$ and hence, we can assume that $y \in C_G(x_{n-1})$. On the other hand, Step 2 shows that $Z(K_0) \cap C_G(x_{n-1})$ contains a non-trivial element z such that $|cl_G(z)| = \frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GU_{n-1}(q)|}$. Since $C_G(x_{n-1}) = O_{r_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1})) \times O_{r'_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1}))$, by Lemma 2.16(i), we can assume that $y, z \in O_{r'_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1}))$. But $O_{r'_{n-1}}(C_G(x_{n-1}))$ is abelian, by Lemma 2.16(i), so yz = zy. Also gcd(O(y), O(z)) = gcd(p, t) = 1. Thus Lemma 2.4(i) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} |cl_G(yz)| &= \frac{|G|}{|C_G(y) \cap C_G(z)|} = \frac{|G||C_G(y)C_G(z)|}{|C_G(y)||C_G(z)|} \\ &\leq \frac{|G|^2}{|C_G(y)||C_G(z)|} = |cl_G(y)||cl_G(z)| = (\frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GU_{n-1}(q)|})^2 \le q^{4n}. \end{aligned}$$
(7)

On other hand, $yz \in C_G(x_{n-1})$ and hence there exists a divisor m of n-1 such that $|cl_G(yz)| = \frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GL_{(n-1)/m}^{\varepsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^m)$. So by (7), $\frac{|SU_n(q)|}{|GL_{(n-1)/m}^{\varepsilon}(q^m)|} < q^{4n}$. This forces m = 1 and hence, $|cl_G(yz)| = |cl_G(y)| = |cl_G(z)|$. It follows from Lemma 2.4(i) that $C_G(y) = C_G(yz) = C_G(z)$. This shows that $K_0 \leq C_G(y)$ and hence, $1 \neq y \in C_G(K_0)$. Thus $O_t(C_G(K_0)) \neq 1$ and hence, $O_t(G) \neq 1$. So Step 3 shows that $\{q,t\} = \{2,3\}$, which is a contradiction to our assumption. This yields that $(q,t) \in \{(2,3), (3,2), (7,2), (8,3), (4,5)\}$, as wanted. \Box

Step 6. If $K_0 \neq \{1\}$ and there exists $t \in \pi(G)$ such that $O_t(\bar{G}) \neq \{1\}$, then $n \geq 9$, $\{q,s\} = \{2,3\}$ and t = p or $n \geq 8$, s = p, $(q,t) \in \{(2,3), (3,2), (7,2), (8,3), (4,5)\}$, n is not prime and $q + 1 \nmid n$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Steps 3 and 5. \Box

In the following, let $n \ge 8$ and, if $q \in \{3,7\}$, fix $\pi = \{2,p\}$, if $q \in \{2,8\}$, fix $\pi = \{2,3\}$ and if q = 4, fix $\pi = \{2,5\}$. Otherwise, fix $\pi = \{p\}$. Let K be a maximal normal π -subgroup of G. Also, let $\overline{G} = G/K$, let $\overline{M} = M/K$ be a minimal normal subgroup of \overline{G} and for every $x \in G$, let \overline{x} be the image of x in \overline{G} .

Step 7. M is not abelian.

Proof. On the contrary suppose that \overline{M} is u-elementary abelian for some $u \in \pi(G)$. So $u \notin \pi$. If $O_{\pi}(G) = 1$, $O_{\pi}(G) = O_p(G)$ or $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$ and $O_{\pi}(G) = O_s(G)$, then Steps 3 and 6 complete the proof. So let $|\pi| \ge 2$. Therefore, $n \ge 8$,

$$(q,\pi) \in \{(3,\{2,3\}), (2,\{2,3\}), (7,\{2,,7\}), (8,\{2,3\}), (4,\{2,5\})\}$$

$$(8)$$

and $u \notin \pi$. If $1 \neq \bar{w}_n \in \bar{M} \cap C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)$, then we can assume that w_n is a *u*-element of $C_G(x_n)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.14(ii) shows that there exist a divisor $1 \neq m$ of n and a divisor β of gcd(m, q + 1) such that $|cl_G(w_n)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m}^{\epsilon}(q^m)|}$, where $\epsilon = sgn((-1)^m)$. Thus since w_n is a *u*-element, $u \notin \pi$ and \bar{M} is an abelian *u*-group, Lemma 2.4(iv,vi) and the same reasoning given for (3) yield that

$$|cl_{G}(w_{n})|_{\pi'} \leq |cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{w}_{n})| < |\bar{M}|$$

$$\leq |C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{w}_{n})|_{u} = |C_{G}(w_{n})|_{u}.$$
(9)

On the other hand, Lemmas 2.14 and 2.20(v) imply that if $u \in \{r_n, r_{n-1}\}$, then $|G|_u = |PSU_n(q)|_u$ and otherwise, $|C_G(w_n)|_u = \frac{|G|_u}{|cl_G(w_n)|_u} \leq \frac{|PSU_n(q)|_u|\beta|_u|GL_{n/m}^e(q^m)|_u}{|q+1|_u|\gcd(n,q+1)|_u}$, because by Lemma 2.20(v), $|G|_u \leq (|PSU_n(q)|_u)^2$. Thus considering (9) and the different values of n, m, q and π forces $q = 2, \pi = \{2, 3\}, n = 8, m = 2$ and u = 5. Applying the same argument as that used in the proof of Lemma 2.21 allows us to assume that $\overline{M}C_{\overline{S}}(\overline{x}_n) \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{w}_n)$, where $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_5(G)$ and $C_S(x_n) \in \mathrm{Syl}_5(C_G(x_n))$. So $r_8 = 17 \mid \frac{|\overline{M}|}{|C_{\overline{M}}(\overline{x}_n)|} - 1 = 5^a - 1$ and $5^a \leq \frac{|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{w}_n)|_5}{|C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n)|_5} = \frac{|cl_{\overline{G}}(\overline{w}_n)|_5}{|cl_{\overline{G}}(\overline{w}_n)|_5} \leq 5^4$. Thus a = 0 and hence $\overline{M} \leq C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n)$. This shows that $|\overline{M}| \leq |PSU_8(2)|_5$, which leads us to get a contradiction by using (9). Therefore, $\overline{M} \cap C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n) = \{1\}$.

Now let $r \in \pi - \{p\}$ and $K_r \in \operatorname{Syl}_r(K)$. If $y \in K_r \cap C_G(x_n)$, then Lemma 2.14(ii) shows that there exist a divisor $1 \neq m_1$ of n and a divisor β of $\operatorname{gcd}(m_1, q+1)$ such that $|cl_G(y)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{\beta |GL_{n/m_1}^{\epsilon}(q^{m_1})|}$, where $\epsilon = \operatorname{sgn}((-1)^{m_1})$. Lemma 2.3(i) shows that $\frac{|GU_n(q)|_{p'}}{|\beta|_{p'}|GL_{n/m_1}^{\epsilon}(q^{m_1})|_{p'}} < |K|_r \leq |G|_r$, which is impossible by considering (8) and the different values of n, m and r. Thus $K_r \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.3(ii) guarantees the existence of a u-Sylow subgroup M_u of M such that $M_u \leq N_G(K_r)$ and $x_n \in N_G(M_uK_r)$. Since $\overline{M} \cap C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n) = \{1\}$, we get that $M_u \cap C_G(x_n) = \{1\}$. Thus $\langle x_n \rangle$ acts fixed-point-freely on $M_uK_r - \{1\}$, so M_uK_r is nilpotent. Therefore, $K_r \leq N_G(M_u)$. Also, the Frattini argument shows that G = $MN_G(M_u) = KM_uN_G(M_u) = KN_G(M_u) = K_pK_rN_G(M_u) = K_pN_G(M_u)$, so $[G : N_G(M_u)]$ is a *p*-number and hence, for every $1 \neq z \in M_u$,

$$\frac{|cl_G(z))|[C_G(z):C_{N_G(M_u)}(z)]}{[G:N_G(M_u)]} = |cl_{N_G(M_u)}(z)| < |M_u| = |\bar{M}|_u \le |cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)|_u.$$

This gives that $|cl_G(z)|_{p'} < |PSU_n(q)|_u$, which is contradiction to Lemma 2.17(iii). This shows that \overline{M} is non-abelian.

By Step 7, \overline{M} is not abelian. Thus $\overline{M} = P_1 \times \ldots \times P_m$, where P_i s are non-abelian isomorphic simple groups.

Step 8. $r_{n-1} \in \pi(\bar{M})$. In particular, \bar{M} contains an r_{n-1} -element, say \bar{x}_{n-1} . Also, if n is prime, then $r_n \in \pi(\bar{M})$ and \bar{M} contains an r_n -element, say \bar{x}_n .

Proof. [6, Step 5] On the contrary suppose that $r_{n-1} \notin \pi(\bar{M})$. Obviously, there exists $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $P_1^{\bar{x}_{n-1}} = P_j$. Let $j \neq 1$. Thus we can assume that $\{P_1, \dots, P_{r_{n-1}}\}$ is an \bar{x}_{n-1} -orbit. Fix $\bar{g}_i \in P_i$ such that \bar{g}_1 is an arbitrary element in P_1 and if $1 \leq i \leq r_{n-1} - 1$, then $\bar{g}_{i+1} = \bar{g}_i^{\bar{x}_{n-1}}$ and otherwise, $\bar{g}_i = K$. Hence $\bar{y} = \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{g}_i \in C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})$. Thus $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})$ contains a subgroup H isomorphic to P_1 , so Lemma 2.20(vii) forces P_1 to be nilpotent, which is a contradiction. Therefore, j = 1 and hence, $\bar{x}_{n-1} \in N_{\bar{G}}(P_1)$ and $\bar{x}_{n-1} \notin C_{\bar{G}}(P_1)$. Thus we can assume that $\bar{x}_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(P_1)$. So $r_{n-1} \mid |\operatorname{Out}(P_1)|$ and $r_{n-1} \nmid |P_1|$. We thus get that P_1 is a non-abelian simple group of Lie type and the r_{n-1} -Sylow subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(P_1)$ are isomorphic to $\langle \phi \rangle$, where ϕ is a field automorphism of P_1 . Thus Lemma 2.16(i) forces $C_{P_1}(\phi)$ to be nilpotent, which is a contradiction. This shows that $r_{n-1} \in \pi(\bar{M})$ and hence, \bar{M} contains an r_{n-1} -element, say \bar{x}_{n-1} .

If n is prime, then the same reasoning as above shows that $r_n \in \pi(\bar{M})$ and $\bar{x}_n \in \bar{M}$. \Box Step 9. \bar{M} is a simple group, $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) = 1$ and $\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M})$.

Proof. [6, Step 6] We first show that m = 1. If not, then we can assume that $\bar{x}_{n-1} \in P_2$, so $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})$ contains a subgroup H isomorphic to P_1 and hence, Lemma 2.20(vii) forces P_1 to be nilpotent, which is a contradiction. Therefore, m = 1 and hence, \bar{M} is a simple group. Since $\bar{x}_{n-1} \in \bar{M}$, $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) \leq C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})$. Thus Lemma 2.16(i) yields that $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})$ is a normal and nilpotent subgroup of \bar{G} . So Step 7 forces $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M}) = 1$. We thus get $\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} = \frac{N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})}{C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{M})} \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M})$, as desired. \Box

Step 10. \overline{M} is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic p.

Proof. By Step 9, \overline{M} is a simple group. The classification of finite simple groups shows that one of the following cases occurs:

(i) If \overline{M} is a sporadic simple group, then $|\operatorname{Out}(\overline{M})|$ divides 2 and hence, $\pi(\overline{M}) \cup \pi = \pi(PSU_n(q))$. So $|G|_{r_n} = |\overline{M}|_{r_n}$ and $|G|_{r_{n-1}} = |\overline{M}|_{r_{n-1}}$. Therefore, $\overline{x}_n, \overline{x}_{n-1} \in \overline{M}$. Lemma 2.20(vi) now leads to $|\frac{(q^n - (-1)^n)}{\gcd(n,q+1)}|_{\pi'} ||C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_n)|$ and either $|\frac{(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})}{\gcd(n,q+1)}|_{\pi'} ||C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1})|$ or $(q, n) \in \{(3, 3), (2, 4)\}$, which is impossible by considering the sporadic simple groups.

(ii) If $\overline{M} \cong Alt_u$, the alternating group of degree u, then $|\operatorname{Out}(\overline{M})|$ is a 2-number, so $r_n, r_{n-1} \in \pi(\overline{M})$. First let $(n,q) \neq (4,2), (3,3), (3,4)$. Since $n \geq 3, \tau(n) | r_n - 1$ and $\tau(n-1) | r_{n-1} - 1, u \geq 7$. So $\operatorname{Aut}(\overline{M})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree u, Sym_u . Therefore, $\overline{G} \in \{Alt_u, Sym_u\}$, by Step 9. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\overline{x}_{n-1} = (1 \cdots r_{n-1})$, a cyclic permutation of length r_{n-1} . Thus if $\overline{G} = Alt_u$, then $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1}) = Alt_{u-r_{n-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_{n-1}}$ and if $\overline{G} = Sym_u$, then $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1}) = Sym_{u-r_{n-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{r_{n-1}}$. From Lemma 2.20(vii), $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1})$ is nilpotent. Thus either $\overline{G} = Alt_u$ and $u - r_{n-1} \leq 3$ or $\overline{G} = Sym_u$

$$|C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})| \in \{r_{n-1}, 2r_{n-1}, 3r_{n-1}\}.$$
(10)

On the other hand, Lemma 2.20(vi) implies that $|\frac{(q^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1})}{\gcd(n,q+1)}|_{t'}$ divides $|C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_{n-1})|$, where either t = 1 or $n \geq 8$ and $(q,t) \in \{(2,3), (3,2), (4,5), (8,3), (7,2)\}$. Since $\pi(\bar{M}) \cup \pi = \pi(PSU_n(q))$ and $(n,q) \neq (3,4)$, we can see that n-1 is an odd prime and $\frac{(q^{n-1}+1)}{(q+1)\gcd(n-1,q+1)} = r_{n-1}$. If $\gcd(n-1,q+1) = 1$, then there exists a prime r such that $\frac{(q^{n-1}+1)+(q+1)}{2(q+1)} = (r_{n-1}+1)/2 < r < r_{n-1} = \frac{(q^{n-1}+1)}{(q+1)}$, by [13, Lemma 1]. On the other hand, $r \in \pi(\bar{M}) \subseteq \pi(PSU_n(q))$ and hence there exists $1 \leq m \leq n$ such that $m \neq n-1$ and $r \in Z_m(-q)$. This forces (n,q) = (4,3) and hence $K = \{1\}, r_{n-1} = 7$ and $7 \leq u \leq 10$. But $|PSU_4(3)|$ does not divide $|Alt_u|$ and $|Sym_u|$, which is a contradiction, because $G \cong Alt_u$ or Sym_u . Now let $\gcd(n-1,q+1) \neq 1$. Since n-1 is prime, $\gcd(n-1,q+1) = n-1$. Also, $\gcd(n-1,q+1)$ and $\frac{q+1}{\gcd(n,q+1)}$ divide $\frac{(q^{n-1}+1)}{\gcd(n,q+1)}$. Thus (10) shows that $n-1 \in \{1,2,3,5\}$ and hence, we can check that n = 4. So $K = \{1\}$, by Step 3 and s = 1. Therefore, $G \cong Alt_u$ or Sym_u . Also, (10) forces $\frac{q+1}{\gcd(n,q+1)} \in \{1,2,3\}$. This shows that $q \in \{5,11\}$. If q = 5, then $r_{n-1} = 7 \leq u \leq 1$

 $10 = r_{n-1} + 3$. But $|PSU_4(5)|$ does not divide $|Alt_u|$ or $|Sym_u|$, where $7 \le u \le 10$, which is impossible. Moreover, if q = 11, then $r_{n-1} = 37$. Thus $\pi(Alt_{37}) \subseteq \pi(G) = \pi(PSU_4(11))$, which is a contradiction. Now let (q, n) = (2, 4) and $|\frac{(q^n - (-1)^n)}{(q+1)\gcd(n,q+1)}| = 5$. Step 3 shows that $K = \{1\}$ and by the above statements, $r_{n-1} = 5 \le u \le 8 = r_{n-1} + 3$. On the other hand, $|PSU_4(2)| = |G| = |Alt_u|$ or $|Sym_u|$, by Remark 2.18, which is a contradiction. The same reasoning rules out the case (n, q) = (3, 3) and (3, 4).

(iii) Let \overline{M} be a simple group of Lie type in characteristic t, where $t \in \pi(\overline{M})$. On the contrary, suppose that $t \neq p$. By [18], there exists $u \in \pi(\overline{M}) - \{t\}$ such that \overline{M} does not contain any element of order tu and hence, there exists a u-element $\overline{w} \in \overline{M}$ such that $|cl_{\overline{M}}(\overline{w})|_t = |\overline{M}|_t$. But $|cl_{\overline{M}}(\overline{w})|$ divides $|cl_M(w)|$ and $|cl_M(w)|$ divides $|cl_G(w)|$. Thus $|\overline{M}|_t$ divides $|PSU_n(q)|_t$. Since $\overline{x}_{n-1} \in \overline{M} \leq \overline{G}$, $|cl_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1})| < |\overline{M}|$. Considering the order of finite simple groups of Lie type in characteristic t shows that $|\overline{M}| \leq (|\overline{M}|_t)^3$. Since $KC_G(x_{n-1}) \leq G$, we deduce that $|K/C_K(x_{n-1})|_p$ divides $|cl_G(x_{n-1})|_p = p^{n(n-1)k/2}$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.4(v) gives that if $|K/C_K(x_{n-1})|_p = p^{\gamma}$, then $\tau(n-1)k \mid \gamma$. Thus if $\tau(n-1) = 2(n-1)$ and $\tau(n) = n/2$, then $q^{n-1} \mid [G : KC_G(x_{n-1})] = |cl_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1})|$ and if $\tau(n-1) = (n-1)$ and $\tau(n) = 2n$, then $q^{(n-1)/2} \mid [G : KC_G(x_{n-1})] = |cl_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}_{n-1})|$. Therefore,

$$q^{i}|cl_{G}(x_{n-1})|_{\pi'} \le |\bar{M}| < (|\bar{M}|_{t})^{3} \le (|PSU_{n}(q)|_{t})^{3},$$
(11)

where if $\tau(n-1) = 2(n-1)$, $\tau(n) = n/2$ and $p \in \pi$, i = n-1, if $\tau(n-1) = (n-1)$, $\tau(n) = 2n$ and $p \in \pi$, i = (n-1)/2 and otherwise, i = 0. Thus considering (11), the conditions obtained in Steps 3, 6, Lemma 2.16(i) and the order of finite simple groups of Lie type in characteristic t force

A. $O_{\pi}(G) = O_p(G)$ and $(n, q, t) \in \{(10, 2, 3), (9, 3, 2), (j, 2, 3), (j, 3, 2), (6, 4, 5) : j \in \{6, 8\}\} - \{(8, 3, 2), (6, 2, 3)\}$ or

B.
$$O_{\pi}(G) = 1$$
 and

$$(n,q,t) \in \{(5,2,3), (5,3,2), (4,8,3), (4,7,2), (4,2,3), (4,3,2), (3,3,2), (3,4,5), (3,7,2), (3,8,3)\}$$
 or

C. $ps \mid |O_{\pi}(G)| = |K|$ and

$$(n,q,s,t) = (8,2,3,43).$$

If $O_{\pi}(G) = O_p(G)$ and (n,q,t) = (8,2,3), then $43 = r_{n-1} \in \pi(\bar{M}) \subseteq \pi(PSU_n(q)) \subseteq \{2,3,5,7,11,17,43\}$, which is impossible by considering [20, Table 1]. The same reasoning rules out the case when $O_{\pi}(G) = O_p(G)$ and $(n,q,t) \in \{(10,2,3), (6,4,5), (6,3,2)\}$ or $O_{\pi}(G) = \{1\}$ and $(n,q,t) \in \{(5,2,3), (5,3,2), (4,8,3), (4,7,2), (4,2,3), (3,4,5), (3,7,2), (3,8,3)\}$. If $O_{\pi}(G) = O_p(G)$ and (n,q,t) = (9,3,2), then since $|\bar{M}|_2 \leq |G|_2 \leq (|PSU_9(3)|_2)^2 = 2^{46}$ and $\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M})$, we can see that $547 = r_7(-3) \in \pi(\bar{M})$. Therefore, there exists $1 \leq m \leq 2.46$ such that $547 \in Z_m(2)$, which is a contradiction, because $\exp_{547}(2) > 2.46$. If $O_{\pi}(G) = \{1\}$ and (n,q,t) = (4,3,2), then Remark 2.18 and, Steps 8 and 9 show that $|G| = |PSU_4(3)| = 2^7.3^6.5.7, 7 = r_3 \in \pi(\bar{M})$ and $\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} = G \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M})$, which is impossible by considering [20, Table 1].

Now let $ps \mid |O_{\pi}(G)| = |K|$ and (n, q, s, t) = (8, 2, 3, 43). Then $43 = r_7 \in \pi(\bar{M}) \subseteq \pi(G) = \pi(PSU_n(q)) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 43\}$ and by Lemma 2.14, $|G|_{43} = |PSU_n(q)|_{43}$. Therefore, [20, Table 1] forces $\bar{M} \cong PSL_2(43)$, so $5 \in \pi(K) \cup \pi(\operatorname{Out}(\bar{M})) = \pi(O_{\{2,3\}}(G)) \cup \pi(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, which is a contradiction.

If $O_{\pi}(G) = \{1\}$ and (n,q,t) = (3,3,2), then $7 = r_3 \in \pi(\bar{M}) \subseteq \pi(G) = \pi(PSU_n(q)) = \{2,3,7\}$, so [20, Table 1] shows that $\bar{M} \cong PSL_3(2)$ or $PSL_2(8)$ and hence, since $\bar{M} \trianglelefteq \bar{G} \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M}), 2, 3 \in \pi(\operatorname{Out}(\bar{M})) = \pi(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ or $\pi(\mathbb{Z}_3)$, which is impossible.

This shows that M is a finite simple group of Lie type in characteristic p, as wanted. \Box Step 11. \overline{M} is isomorphic to $PSU_n(q)$.

Proof. For a finite group H, fix $\varphi(H) = \max\{\exp_u(p) : u \in \pi(H) - \{p\}\}$ and $\psi(H) = \max\{\exp_u(p) : u \in \pi(H) - (Z_{\varphi(H)}(p) \cup \{p\})\}.$

We claim that $r_n \in \pi(\bar{M})$. On the contradiction, suppose that $r_n \notin \pi(\bar{M})$. Since $r_n \nmid |K|$, $\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M})$ and \bar{M} is a simple group of Lie type over a field with p^e elements, by Steps 9 and 10, we conclude that $r_n \mid e$. If n is odd, then $\varphi(G) = \tau(n)k$ and since $\tau(n)k = 2nk \mid r_n - 1$, we get from considering the order of finite simple groups of Lie type over a field with p^e elements that $\pi(\bar{M})$ contains a prime divisor u such that $\exp_u(p) \geq e \geq r_n > \tau(n)k = \varphi(G)$, which is a contradiction. Now let n be even. Since by Step 8, $r_{n-1} \in \pi(\bar{M})$, we have $\varphi(G) = \varphi(\bar{M}) = \tau(n-1)k$ and hence, considering the order of finite simple groups of Lie type over a field with p^e elements shows that $e \mid \tau(n-1)k = 2(n-1)k$. Thus $r_n \mid (n-1)k$. On the other hand, $\tau(n)k \mid r_n - 1$ and $r_n - 1$ is even, so $nk \mid r_n - 1$. This yields nk < (n-1)k, a contradiction. Therefore, $r_n \in \pi(\overline{M})$, as wanted. Thus

$$\varphi(G) = \varphi(\bar{M}) = \begin{cases} \tau(n)k, & \text{if either } n \text{ is odd or } (n,q) = (4,2) \\ \tau(n-1)k, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(12)

If (n,q) = (4,2), let r = 3, if $(n,q) \in \{(5,2), (6,2)\}$, let r = 5, if n > 6 is even, let $r \in Z_{2(n-3)k}(p)$, if $n \leq 6$ is even and $(n,q) \neq (4,2), (6,2)$, let $r \in Z_{nk}(p)$ and if n is odd and $(n,q) \neq (5,2)$, let $r \in Z_{2(n-2)k}(p)$. If r = 2, then obviously $r \in \pi(\bar{M})$. Now let r be odd. By Tables 1 and 2, there exists a natural number m such that $\varphi(\bar{M}) = me$ and hence, if $(n,q) \neq (4,2), (3,3), (5,2), (6,2)$, then we can conclude from (12) that $r \nmid e$, so repeating the above argument shows that $r \in \pi(\bar{M})$. Also, if (n,q) = (4,2), then $|PSU_4(2)| \mid |G|$ and $\pi(G) = \pi(PSU_4(2))$, so since by Steps 3 and 9, $K = \{1\}$ and $M \leq G \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(M)$, we get from [14] that $\bar{M} = M \cong PSU_4(2)$, as wanted in this case. The same reasoning shows that if (n,q) = (3,3), then $\bar{M} = M \cong PSU_3(3)$. If (n,q) = (5,2), then by Step 8, $r = r_4 = r_{\tau(n-1)k} \in \pi(\bar{M})$, as wanted. Finally if (n,q) = (6,2), then since n-1 is prime and $q+1 \mid n$, we get that $K = \{1\}$ and hence, $\bar{M} \leq \bar{G} = G \lesssim \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{M})$. But $\pi(G) = \pi(PSU_6(2))$ and $|PSU_6(2)| \mid |G|$, so [20, Table 1] forces $\bar{M} \cong PSU_6(2)$, as wanted. Thus we can assume that $(n,q) \neq (4,2), (3,3), (6,2)$ and $r \in \pi(\bar{M})$. Therefore, since $n \geq 3$, we see that

$$\psi(G) = \psi(\bar{M}) = \begin{cases} \tau(n-2)k, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } (n,q) \neq (5,2), (3,3) \\ 4, & \text{if } (n,q) = (5,2) \\ nk, & \text{if } n \leq 6 \text{ is even and } (n,q) \neq (4,2), (6,2) \\ \tau(n-3)k, & \text{if } n > 6 \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Since M is isomorphic to one of the simple groups mentioned in Tables 1 and 2, comparing the above values for $\varphi(\bar{M})$ and $\psi(\bar{M})$ and the values obtained in Tables 1 and 2, and considering the fact that $\pi(\bar{M}) \subseteq \pi(G) = \pi(PSU_n(q))$ show that $\bar{M} \cong PSU_n(q)$, as desired. \Box

Step 12. $K = \{1\}.$

Proof. Since $\bar{x}_n \in \bar{M}$, $|cl_{\bar{M}}(\bar{x}_n)|$ divides $|cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)|$. On the other hand, $|cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)|$ divides $|cl_G(x_n)|$ and $|cl_{\bar{M}}(\bar{x}_n)| = \frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}$. Thus since $\frac{|GU_n(q)|}{(q^n - (-1)^n)}$ is maximal in cs(G) by divisibility, by Lemma 2.13(i), we get that $|cl_G(x_n)| = |cl_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}_n)|$ and hence, Lemma 2.4(iv) forces $\frac{|G|}{|C_G(x_n)|} = \frac{|G|}{|KC_G(x_n)|}$. Therefore, $C_G(x_n)K = C_G(x_n)$, so $K \leq C_G(x_n)$. Thus $N \leq C_G(x_n)$.

Н	$2D_m(p^e), D_{m+1}(p^e)$ $(m \ge 4)$ $B_m(p^e), C_m(p^e)$ $(m \ge 2)$	$A_{m-1}(p^e)$	$^2A_{m-1}(p^e)$, (<i>m</i> is odd)
$\varphi(H)$	4, if $(m, p^e) = (3, 2)$ 2me, otherwise	5, if $(m, p^e) = (6, 2)$ 1, if $(m, p^e) = (2, 2^u - 1)$ <i>me</i> , otherwise	2, if $(m, p^e) = (3, 2)$ 2me
$\psi(H)$	3, if $(m, p^e) = (3, 2)$ 4, if $(m, p^e) = (4, 2)$ 1, if $(m, p^e) = (2, 2^u - 1)$ 2(m - 1)e, otherwise	4, if $(m, p^e) = (6, 2)$ 5, if $(m, p^e) = (7, 2)$ -, if $(m, p^e) = (2, 2^u - 1)$ (m - 1)e, otherwise	-, if $(m, p^e) = (3, 2)$ 1, if $(m, p^e) = (3, 2^u - 1)$ 4, if $(m, p^e) = (5, 2)$ 2(m - 2)e, otherwise
Н	$E_6(p^e)$	$E_7(p^e)$	$E_8(p^e)$
$\varphi(H)$	12e	18e	30e
$\psi(H)$	9e	14e	24e

Table 1: $\phi(H)$ and $\psi(H)$, where H is a finite simple group of Lie type over a field with p^e elements

Н	$ \begin{array}{c} ^{2}A_{m-1}(p^{e}),\\(m \text{ is even}) \end{array} $	$F_4(p^e)$	$G_2(p^e)$	${}^{2}E_{6}(p^{e})$	${}^{3}D_{4}(q^{3})$	${}^{2}B_{2}(2^{e})$	$^{2}F_{4}(2^{e})$	${}^{2}G_{2}(3^{e})$
$\varphi(H)$	4, if $(m, p^e) = (4, 2)$ 1, if $(m, p^e) = (2, 2^u - 1)$ 2(m - 1)e, otherwise	12e	6e	18e	12e	4e	12e	6e
$\psi(H)$	4, if $(m, p^e) = (6, 2)$ 2, if $(m, p^e) = (4, 2)$ -, if $(m, p^e) = (2, 2^u - 1)$ me, if $m \le 6, (m, p^e) \ne$ $(2, 2^u - 1), (6, 2), (4, 2)$ 2(m - 3)e, otherwise	8e	Зе	12e	6e, if $p^e \neq 2$ 3, otherwise	е	6e	е

Table 2: $\phi(H)$ and $\psi(H)$, where H is a finite simple group of Lie type over a field with p^e elements

On the other hand, obviously, $N \leq O_s(G)$. Thus for $S \in \text{Syl}_s(G)$, $1 \neq Z(S) \cap N \leq C_G(x_n)$, which is a contradiction with Lemma 2.20(i), because Step 3 shows that either s = p or $\{q, s\} = \{2, 3\}$. Therefore, $K = \{1\}$, as desired. \Box **Step 13.** $G = M \cong PSU_n(q)$. *Proof.* Since by Steps 9, 11 and 12, $K = \{1\}$, $M \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ and $M \cong PSU_n(q)$, Theorem

2.25 shows that $G = M \cong PSU_n(q)$, as desired. \Box

The proof of the main theorem is complete.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Professor O'Brien for reading the final manuscript and giving many useful comments. The author would like to express her gratitude to the referees for careful reading and several useful comments, which improved the manuscript, and also their assistance in proving Lemma 2.4(v). Thanks also are due to Shahrekord University for financial support (Grant 94GRD1M1023).

References

- N. Ahanjideh, Finite groups with the same conjugacy class sizes as a finite simple group, Int. J. Group Theory (In Press).
- [2] N. Ahanjideh, On Thompson's conjecture for some finite simple groups, J. Algebra 344 (2011) 205-228.
- [3] N. Ahanjideh, Thompson's conjecture for some finite simple groups with connected prime graph, Algebra Logic 51 (6) (2013) 451-478.
- [4] N. Ahanjideh and M. Ahanjideh, On the validity of Thompson's conjecture for finite simple groups, *Commun. Algebra* 41 (11) (2013) 4116-4145.
- [5] N. Ahanjideh, On the Thompson's conjecture on conjugacy classes sizes, Int. J. Algebr Comput. 23 (1) (2013) 37-68.
- [6] N. Ahanjideh, Thompson's conjecture for finite simple groups of Lie type B_n and C_n, J. Group Theory 19 (4) (2016) 713-733.

- [7] R.W. Carter, Finite groups of Lie type: conjugacy classes and complex characters, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [8] G. Chen, On Thompson's conjecture, J. Algebra 185 (1996) 184-193.
- [9] I.B. Gorshkov, Thompson's conjecture for simple groups with connected prime graph, *Algebra Logic* 51 (2) (2012) 111-127.
- [10] E.I. Khukhro and V.D. Mazurov, Unsolved problems in group theory: The Kourovka Notebook, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 17th edition, 2010.
- [11] E.I. Khukhro, Nilpotent groups and their automorphisms, De Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [12] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of finite classical groups, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [13] A.S. Kondratev and V.D. Mazurov, Recognition of alternating groups of prime degree from their element orders, *Siberian Math. J.* **41** (2) (2000) 294-302.
- [14] M. Herzog, On finite simple groups of order divisible by three primes only, J. Algebra 10 (1968) 383-388.
- [15] A.V. Vasilev, On Thompson's conjecture, Sib. El. Mat. Izv. 6 (2009) 457-464.
- [16] A.V.Vasilev, On finite groups isospectral to simple classical groups, J. Algebra 423 (2015) 318-374.
- [17] A.V. Vasilev and M.A. Grechkoseeva, On recognition by spectrum of finite simple linear groups over fields of characteristic 2, *Siberian Math. J.* 46 (4) (2005) 593-600.
- [18] A.V. Vasilev and E.P. Vdovin, An adjacency criterion for the prime graph of a finite simple group, *Algebra Logic* 44 (6) (2005) 381-406.
- [19] A.V. Zavarnitsine, Recognition of the simple groups $L_3(q)$ by element orders, J. Group Theory 7 (2004) 81-97.
- [20] A.V. Zavarnitsine, Finite simple groups with narrow prime spectrum, Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports 6 (2009) 1-12.
- [21] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 3 (1892) 265-284.